Desert pavement–coated surfaces in extreme deserts present the longest-lived landforms on Earth
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ABSTRACT

All exposed rocks on Earth’s surface experience erosion; the fastest rates are documented in rapidly uplifted monsoonal mountain ranges, and the slowest occur in extreme cold or warm deserts—millennial submeter-scale erosion may be approached only in the latter. The oldest previously reported exposure ages are from boulders and clasts of resistant lithologies lying at the surface, and the slowest reported erosion rates are derived from bedrock outcrops or boulders that erode more slowly than their surroundings; thus, these oldest reported ages and slowest erosion rates relate to outstanding features in the landscape, while the surrounding landscape may erode faster and be younger. We present erosion rate and exposure age data from the Paran Plains, a typical environment in the Near East where vast abandoned alluvial surfaces (10²–10⁴ km²) are covered by well-developed desert pavements. These surfaces may experience erosion rates that are slower than those documented elsewhere on our planet and can retain their original geometry for more than 2 m.y. Major factors that reduce erosion converge in these regions: extreme hyperaridity, tectonic stability, flat and horizontal surfaces (i.e., no relief), and effective surface armoring by a clast mosaic of highly resistant lithology. The ¹⁰Be concentrations in amalgamated desert pavement chert clasts collected from abandoned alluvial surfaces in the southern Negev, Israel (representing the Sahara-Arabia Deserts), indicate simple exposure ages of 1.5–1.8 Ma or correspond to maximum erosion rates of 0.25–0.3 m m.y.⁻¹. The ³⁶Cl in carbonate clasts, from the same pavement, weathers faster than the chert and yields simple exposure ages of 430–490 ka or maximum erosion rates of 0.7–0.8 m m.y.⁻¹. These ages and rates are exceptional because they represent an extensive landform. The

²⁸Be concentrations from samples collected at depth and optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating reveal a two-stage colluvial deposition history followed by eolian addition of 40 cm of silt during the past 170 k.y. Our results highlight the efficiency of desert pavement armor in protecting rocks from erosion and preserving such geomorphic surfaces for millions of years.

INTRODUCTION

Stable gravelly alluvial surfaces in hyperarid environments are characterized by Reg soil formation and cover ~20% of the Middle East deserts, North Africa, and other extremely arid regions of the world (Dan et al., 1982; Gerson, 1982). Most of the Reg soils are salty, dust-rich, and capped by a mosaic of pebbly clasts that form desert pavements (e.g., Rabikovitch et al., 1957; Dan et al., 1982; Cooke et al., 1993; Amit et al., 1993; McFadden et al., 1987, 2000; McDonald et al., 2003). Such pavements
form within <50 k.y. (e.g., Amit and Gerson, 1986; Amit et al., 1993; McFadden et al., 2000). The desert pavement clasts reside at the surface during soil formation, while fine-grained dust infiltrates underneath the clasts and shift them upward (McFadden et al., 1987, 2000; Gerson and Amit, 1987; Wells et al., 1995). Weathering causes the breakdown of original clasts into well-sorted, homogeneously pebblesized desert pavement clasts. Internal fractures or discontinuities are nearly absent in these smaller clasts. Therefore, the rate at which they weather drops dramatically, especially in hyperarid environments, where soil water and organic material are absent and clasts are composed of fine-grained silicates.

Under unique conditions of long-term hyperaridity and the absence of soil and vegetation for an extended period, desert pavement will not be recycled. In such settings, the subsoil sediment, the desert pavement, and by inference the surface itself will have similar ages. Under such conditions, desert pavement clasts are continuously exposed at the surface and do not erode—two very important features when considering cosmogenic age dating. In this study, we present numeric data documenting the extreme longevity of desert pavement and the surface on which it lies in a hyperarid and tectonically stable region.

The use of cosmogenic isotopes to determine exposure ages and erosion rates (Lal, 1988; Bierman, 1994; Gosse and Phillips, 2001) of bedrock, alluvial, colluvial, and glacial geomorphic surfaces has yielded a large range of ages and rates (e.g., Bierman and Caffee, 2001, 2002; Bierman and Turner, 1995; Stone et al., 2005; Daeron et al., 2004; Matmon et al., 2005a, 2005b, 2006; Briner et al., 2001, 2002; Siame et al., 1997; Fig. 1). Because the buildup of cosmogenic isotope concentrations in exposed rocks and surfaces is very sensitive to erosion (Gosse and Phillips, 2001), exposure age dating is generally limited to \(10^5-10^7\) yr. Therefore, although alluvial surfaces (terraces and fans) are routinely dated using cosmogenic isotopes (e.g., Gosse et al., 2003a, 2003b, 2004; Phillips et al., 1998), only in rare cases do conditions enable the determination of surface exposure ages that are \(>10^8\) yr. Several studies have dated extremely old landscapes by collecting and analyzing selected clast samples that were lying at the surface (e.g., Dunai et al., 2005; Ewing et al., 2006). These collected samples are generally different from the typical clast covering the surface. Such a sampling strategy leaves important questions unanswered: does the exposure age of the selected samples represent the actual age of the surface? Are average rates of surface processes as slow as indicated by the selected few samples? A hint to the answer is found in some studies (i.e., Dunai et al., 2005) that show a difference of one to two orders of magnitude in cosmogenic nuclide concentration between selected resistant clasts and alluvial sediments. This is despite the fact that such a difference implies that some parts of the geomorphic system are eroding much faster than others and that the antiquity of the landscape cannot be characterized by selected resistant clast and boulder samples.

**STUDY AREA**

The Negev Desert of southern Israel is part of the global desert belt that includes the Sinai, Arabia, and Sahara Deserts. The Paran Plains lie in the hyperarid (<50 mm/yr) southern Negev, ~35 km west of the Dead Sea fault (Fig. 2). Overlain mainly by a veneer of alluvium, the Paran Plains were formed in the Pliocene,
Figure 2. (A) Location of study area (red box) in the Northern Hemisphere deserts of the Sahara and Arabia. (B) The Paran drainage system (blue lines) is the largest drainage system in the Negev (~3,840 km²). It drains from the uplifted Sinai shoulders of the Red Sea–Gulf of Aqaba northward to the Dead Sea basin. (C) Satellite image of the Paran Plains. Brown patches represent desert pavement-coated surfaces. Bright patches and lines represent active drainages and valleys. Research trenches (red dots) into this extremely flat geomorphic surface indicate that the northern site (PS-4) is composed of angular colluvial sediment and the southern site (PS-6) is composed mostly of alluvial sediment. Sediment in the northern site was delivered from nearby outcrops of Senonian chert. Thus, inheritance and transport time were relatively constant. (D) A typical appearance of the landscape in the study area. A well-developed desert pavement covers ~85% of the surface. The region is tilted <1° to the NNW.
when the Wadi Paran drainage system developed in response to the initial subsidence of the Dead Sea basin (Avni, 1991; Avni et al., 2000). Despite their relative proximity to the Dead Sea fault, the Paran Plains are hardly influenced by Quaternary tectonics and are tilted at only a fraction of a degree toward the main stem of Wadi Paran (Avni, 1991; Avni et al., 2000). These surfaces are capped by a continuous and mature desert pavement (>80% cover) composed of chert clasts and a minor amount of limestone and dolomite pebbles. This desert pavement covers the entire Paran Plains (Fig. 2). Beneath the desert pavement, the sediment is generally composed of angular clasts typical of a pediment or a bajada. The gravelly section is homogeneous, without significant changes in deposition type or lithologic composition and without noticeable unconformities. The upper 40 cm consist of fine-grained material, mostly of eolian origin (Fig. 3). In some locations, the sediment includes horizons of rounded carbonate pebbles, indicating the inclusion of alluvial material. In places where these carbonate pebbles are exposed at the surface, they follow the trace of the currently flat and subdued original depositional bars.

**METHODS**

**Cosmogenic Isotopes**

We measured cosmogenic $^{10}$Be concentrations in seven amalgamated samples and $^{36}$Cl concentrations in two samples to determine the history of the Paran Plain alluvial surface. We collected four amalgamated desert pavement samples from two sites 8 km apart. These samples included one amalgamated chert sample from a northern site and three amalgamated samples, one chert and two carbonate (collected several tens of meters from each other), from a southern site (Table 1; Fig. 2). For a good representative sample, 25–40 clasts are enough to get a reproducible mean concentration in a geomorphic system (Repka et al., 1997). We amalgamated tens to >100 of desert pavement clasts in our samples to ensure a cosmogenic nuclide concentration that represents the average dosing, and thus exposure, of the entire Paran Plains desert pavement. The heavily varnished chert clasts range in size between 2 and 5 cm. The rounded carbonate clasts are somewhat larger (2–15 cm) and are slightly to moderately pitted. We hypothesize that in this unique case, where the desert pavement has not been disturbed since formation, the exposure age of the chert clasts (>95% of the desert pavement clasts) also represents the actual age of the geomorphic surface. Since most carbonate pebbles were derived from bars, we expect differences in the exposure history between the chert and the carbonate clasts because of bar relief reduction over time. In addition to the desert pavement samples, five amalgamated chert samples were collected at depths of 110–190 cm from a 2-m-deep trench at the northern site (Table 2; Fig. 3).

Samples for cosmogenic $^{10}$Be were processed following Bierman and Caffee (2001) and Stone
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Figure 3. (A) Soil profile exposed in trench PS-4. Sediment in the lower part is composed of angular clasts typical of a pediment or a bajada. The gravelly section is homogeneous without significant changes in sedimentology and without noticeable unconformities. The upper 40 cm of the profile consist of very fine sand to clay dust. Notice the brighter, 5–10-cm-thick columnar A horizon capped by the tightly arranged single-layer desert pavement composed of heavily varnished, 2–5 cm platy chert clasts. Large cobbles on the pavement were extracted from the section during trench excavation. Several varnished chert clasts can be seen within the clast-free horizon left of the measuring tape. Concentrations of $^{10}$Be (in $10^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz with 1σ analytical error) generally decrease with increasing depth, apart from a slight increase from 110 cm to 130 cm (Table 1). (B) Model results showing measured $^{10}$Be concentrations (red bars) and three end member scenarios of $^{10}$Be profiles (Table 5). Stars represent the calculated concentrations in amalgamated surface desert pavement samples. The “with mixing” term refers to scenarios in which pavement clasts were derived from a 20-cm-thick mixed layer of the second depositional gravel. The “two events with mixing” scenario yielded the best fit. The age obtained for the first deposition event in this scenario is 2.05 Ma (Table 5). Inheritance of 320,000 atoms g$^{-1}$ quartz is equivalent to an average erosion rate of 14 mm k.y.$^{-1}$. Dust accumulation at a constant rate was considered in all scenarios, starting from 170 ka to present based on optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) ages (Table 4).
et al. (1996) for \(^{36}\)Cl. Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) analyses were performed at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. We used Stone (2000) for scaling production rate and a sea-level high-altitude (SLHL) production rate of 5.2 atoms per g quartz per year for \(^{10}\)Be (Stone, 2000). The \(^{10}\)Be model ages were calculated using both a decay constant of 4.62 × 10\(^{-7}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (corresponding to a half-life of 1.5 m.y.) and 5.1 × 10\(^{-7}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (corresponding to a half-life of 1.36 m.y.). For \(^{36}\)Cl, we used an SLHL production rate of 54 atoms per g Ca per year for total production from Ca, partitioned between sputtering (48.8 ± 3.4 atoms per g Ca per year) and muon capture reactions (5.2 ± 1.2 atoms per g Ca per year) as described by Stone et al. (1996, 1998). None of the samples contained significant amounts of K, Fe, or Ti (Table 3A). Production rates by thermal and epithermal neutron capture were calculated using the method of Phillips et al. (2001). Chemical analyses of carbonate samples were performed at the inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) facility, Israel Geological Survey (Table 3).

### Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) Ages

Luminescence methods date the last exposure of mineral grains to sunlight or high temperature (Aitken, 1998). These methods use signals that accumulate in minerals as a result of natural ionizing radiation. Only several minutes of light exposure are necessary to zero the OSL signal in quartz (Aitken, 1998). After a resetting event and burial, the signal grows as a function of time and environmental radiation, and therefore it can be used to estimate the time elapsed since the mineral underwent an event of transport, exposure, deposition, and burial. We collected 13 samples for OSL dating from depths that range between 80 cm and 1 cm below the surface (Table 4). Due to the sensitivity of the luminescence signals, samples for dating were collected in the dark. A layer of tens of centimeters was scraped back from the vertical face in the dark before collecting the sample to avoid the inclusion of bleached material in the sample. Samples were placed immediately in light-tight bags, and all subsequent laboratory procedures were carried out under subdued orange light. Quartz (fine sand size) was separated and purified from the bulk sediment using standard laboratory procedures (Zilberman et al., 2000). Annual gamma and cosmic dose rates were measured in the field using a portable gamma scintillator. Alpha and beta dose rates were calculated from the concentrations of the radionuclides U, Th, and K in the sediment. Luminescence measurements were carried out on a Risø D-12 TL/OSL reader. Equivalent doses (\(D_E\)) were determined using the single aliquot regenerative dose (SAR) protocol (Murray and Wintle, 2000); the \(D_E\) used for age calculations was averaged from 10 to 12 aliquots.

### Model

Profile model exposure ages were calculated using the formula from Brown et al. (1998):

\[
N = \frac{P}{\rho \varepsilon \lambda} (1 - e^{-\rho \varepsilon \lambda t}) + N(0)e^{-\lambda t}, \tag{1}
\]

where \(N\) is the measured concentration of the cosmogenic nuclide in atoms per g quartz, \(P\) is the total surface production rate of the cosmogenic nuclide in atoms per g quartz per year, \(\rho\) is the density of the consolidated sediment (2.4 g cm\(^{-3}\)) or fine eolian material (1.6 g cm\(^{-3}\)), \(\varepsilon\) is the erosion rate of the clasts in cm yr\(^{-1}\), \(\lambda\) is the attenuation depth of neutrons (165 g cm\(^{-2}\)), \(t\) is the exposure age in years, \(N(0)\) is the inherited cosmogenic nuclide concentration in atoms per g quartz at the time of deposition, and \(\lambda\) is the cosmogenic nuclide decay constant (4.62 × 10\(^{-7}\) yr\(^{-1}\) or 5.1 × 10\(^{-7}\) yr\(^{-1}\)). We assumed similar altitude and latitude to the present. Inheritance was an unbound parameter, and \(\varepsilon\) was designated as “0” due to the extreme resistance of chert clasts to erosion in this hyperarid environment.

The model objective was to find the exposure age \((t)\) in the simplest possible scenario (i.e., minimum deposition events) yielding the best fit between measured and calculated concentrations. Calculated \(^{10}\)Be concentrations \((N_c)\) were compared with the measured ones \((N_m)\) for all samples in each scenario to test the fit. The best fit was calculated using a chi-square test. The level of fit was calculated using:

\[
\frac{(Nc_1 - Nm_1)^2}{\sigma_{m_1}^2} + \frac{(Nc_2 - Nm_2)^2}{\sigma_{m_2}^2} + \ldots \frac{(Nc_n - Nm_n)^2}{\sigma_{m_n}^2}, \tag{2}
\]

where “0” was the best result.

Production rates and exposure ages of PS-4 samples were calculated considering a few scenarios with different burial and shielding histories. The exposure age model considered inherited cosmogenic nuclide concentrations \((N(0))\), one or two depositional events, a time interval between the depositional events \((Dif)\), and continuous dust accumulation since 170 ka (as indicated by the OSL ages). Thus, we considered increasing shielding depths to the samples, changing Equation 1 to \(-\varepsilon\) instead of \(\varepsilon\), as well as using a lower density value (1.6 instead of

---

**TABLE 1. CHERT SAMPLES FROM PARAN PLAINS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Site*</th>
<th>Depth (cm below surface)</th>
<th>Site production rate (atoms g(^{-1}) rock yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Concentration ((10^6) atoms (\text{Cl}) g(^{-1}) rock))</th>
<th>Simple exposure age ((10^6) yr))</th>
<th>Maximum erosion rate (m m.y.(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS-4 DP</td>
<td>PS-4</td>
<td>0 (Surface)</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>8.18 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS-4 DP</td>
<td>PS-4</td>
<td>0 (Surface)</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.16 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS-4 110</td>
<td>PS-4</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.40 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS-4 130</td>
<td>PS-4</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.49 ± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS-4 150</td>
<td>PS-4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS-4 170</td>
<td>PS-4</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS-4 190</td>
<td>PS-4</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PS-4: 30.08° N, 34.79° E. PS-6: 30.02° N, 34.80° E. Elevation: 520 m asl.

†Propagated 10% error in production rate.

§Analytical 1σ error.

### TABLE 2. CARBONATE SAMPLES FROM PARAN PLAINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Site*</th>
<th>Depth (cm below surface)</th>
<th>Site production rate (atoms g(^{-1}) rock yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Concentration ((10^6) atoms (\text{Cl}) g(^{-1}) rock))</th>
<th>Simple exposure age ((10^6) yr))</th>
<th>Maximum erosion rate (m m.y.(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS-6 BAR</td>
<td>PS-6</td>
<td>0 (Surface)</td>
<td>29.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>8.47 ± 0.11</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS-6 SWALE</td>
<td>PS-6</td>
<td>0 (Surface)</td>
<td>30.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>8.89 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See Table 1 for location of sites.


‡Analytical error and production rate uncertainty.

---
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2.4 g cm⁻³ for the gravel) for the upper part of the section. The gradual accumulation of dust, starting at 170 ka and lasting to the present, adds to the total shielding of the depth samples, whereas dust that accumulated between 350 ka and 170 ka penetrated into the colluvial section and did not add to the total thickness, and thus shielding, of the samples. A 20 cm mixing layer from which desert pavement clasts were originally derived was also considered. Model output, \( t_{\text{total}} \), describes the total time since initial deposition by optimizing parameters \( Dt \) and \( N(0) \).

Field observations indicate that, periodically, a small number of varnish-coated desert pavement clasts sink into the clast-free eolian layer (Fig. 3). Thus, it is possible that on average each clast in the pavement spends some time at a depth (Fig. 3). Thus, it is possible that on average each.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Temporal Framework and Soil Characteristics of the Paran Plains

The Pliocene-Pleistocene history of the Paran drainage system and its relation to Dead Sea transform tectonic activity have been extensively studied (e.g., Avni, 1991; Avni et al., 2000). Although most are semiquantitative studies, they present the temporal framework for the morphotectonic development of this region. The alluvial surfaces are younger than the Miocene Hazeva Formation (Avni et al., 2000). This formation includes mainly alluvial sediments derived from the trans-Jordan highlands and transported to the Mediterranean prior to the subsidence of the Arava Valley along the Dead Sea transform (Garfunkel and Horovitz, 1966). The accepted age of the top most sediments of the Hazeva Formation is 10–14 Ma (Zilberman and Avni, 2006), although others (e.g., Calvo and Bartov, 2001) have suggested a much younger age of ca. 6 Ma. The Paran Plain alluvial surface is older than the widespread red-bed unit in the central Negev. This unit contains fauna indicative of the early to middle Pleistocene and Acheulian tools estimated as 1.4–2 Ma (Ginat et al., 2002). Together, these age boundaries agree with earlier suggestions (e.g., Garfunkel and Horovitz, 1966) of Pliocene age (2–5 Ma) for the sediments deposited by the ancient Paran drainage system.

The age of the development of drainage systems flowing from the Negev into the Dead Sea basin is obviously constrained by the initial formation of the Dead Sea as a regional base level at 3–8 Ma (e.g., Steinitz and Bartov, 1991). The activity of the ancient Paran drainage system and the deposition of the Paran Plains sediments are therefore bounded between 6 and 1.4 Ma. From field geomorphologic relationships, we estimated that Paran Plains were abandoned during the later stages of this age range.

With this proposed old age, the soil that has developed since abandonment of the Paran Plains should present very well-developed arid soils. The Reg soils of the Paran Plains include a very dense (>80%) desert pavement cover (Figs. 2 and 3). Salt fracturing of clasts near the surface (Amit et al., 1993) is absent, suggesting that all possible fracturing has already occurred, and clasts have reached their minimal size. The Av horizon is extremely thick for this region (5–10 cm), as is the clast-free B-horizon (~40 cm) (Fig. 3). The presence of a weak Bk-horizon, which is replaced later by a By-horizon, implies a cumulative soil that has experienced long-term climatic changes but has not eroded (Amit et al., 2006). Amit et al. (2006) suggested that climatic conditions in the southern Negev during the past 300 k.y. and perhaps since the early Pleistocene have been too arid for the formation of soil calcic horizon. These authors also suggested that such Bk-horizons in flat abandoned alluvial surfaces are probably late Pliocene to early Pleistocene in age, conforming with the geologic framework.

Desert Pavement and Paran Surface Exposure Ages

Debate is ongoing as to the justification of using desert pavements as surface age indicators. For example, in the southwestern United States, Quade (2001) argued that desert pavements might not serve as good surface age indicators.
since they could be disrupted by the expansion of vegetation into barren regions during glacial periods and then rejuvenated later during arid phases. On the other hand, Valentine and Harrington (2006), for example, made observations indicating the progressive maturity of pavements and their suitability as age indicators. Pelletier et al. (2007) attempted to reconcile these contradicting explanations with a numeric model in which pavements develop and mature with time and at the same time rejuvenation cycles affect, in a minor way, their level of maturity.

In the Paran Plains, all our cosmogenic samples yielded high cosmogenic nuclide concentrations that testify to their antiquity. Two pavement chert samples yielded \(^{10}\text{Be}\) concentrations of 7.16 ± 0.19 × 10\(^6\) atoms g\(^{-1}\) quartz and 8.18 ± 0.22 × 10\(^6\) atoms g\(^{-1}\) quartz (Table 1; Fig. 3). The carbonate samples yielded \(^{21}\text{Cl}\) concentrations of 8.89 ± 0.1 × 10\(^6\) atoms g\(^{-1}\) rock and 8.47 ± 0.11 × 10\(^6\) atoms g\(^{-1}\) rock (Table 2). At depth, \(^{10}\text{Be}\) concentrations range between 1.58 ± 0.05 × 10\(^6\) and 3.49 ± 0.09 × 10\(^6\) atoms g\(^{-1}\) quartz (Fig. 3; Table 1). Concentrations of \(^{10}\text{Be}\) in the depth samples generally decrease with increasing depth, apart from a slight increase from 110 cm to 130 cm.

The cosmogenic nuclide concentrations in the desert pavement chert samples imply a straightforward interpretation. The \(^{10}\text{Be}\) concentrations of the chert pavement samples correspond to simple exposure ages of 1.5 ± 0.2 Ma and 1.9 ± 0.3 Ma when erosion is considered to be zero. These ages were calculated using a site surface production rate of 6.8 ± 0.7 atoms yr\(^{-1}\), assuming continuous exposure and no erosion. These \(^{10}\text{Be}\) exposure ages were calculated using the more commonly used decay constant (\(\lambda\)) of \(^{10}\text{Be}\) (4.62 × 10\(^{-7}\) yr\(^{-1}\), corresponding to \(\tau_{1/2} = 1.5\) m.y.) and considering the current altitude of 520 m above sea level. Simple exposure age calculations with a decay constant of 5.1 × 10\(^{-7}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (\(\tau_{1/2} = 1.36\) m.y.; Nishizumi et al., 2007; Fink and Smith, 2007) yielded pavement ages that range between 1.5 ± 0.2 Ma and 2.0 ± 0.4 Ma. Thus, the most conservative desert pavement age is ≥1.5 Ma. The similarity of \(^{10}\text{Be}\) desert pavement ages at the two sites contributes to the reliability of ages. The \(^{10}\text{Be}\) concentration in the desert pavement samples also correspond to maximum erosion rates that range between 0.22 and 0.3 m m.y.\(^{-1}\). When using scaling factors of Dunai (2000), simple exposure ages of the desert pavement samples are longer and steady state erosion rates are slower. These erosion rates would imply minimum surface exposure ages that exceed 5 Ma. These ages are unrealistic considering our geologic knowledge and the temporal framework for the morphotectonic development of the Negev (Avni et al., 2000). Therefore, although these maximum erosion rates are extremely slow, the actual rates must be even slower.

The measured concentrations of \(^{21}\text{Cl}\) in the amalgamated carbonate samples (PS6BAR and PS6SWALE) correspond to simple exposure ages (considering zero erosion) of 460–490 ka or to steady-state time-independent erosion rates of 0.75–0.83 m m.y.\(^{-1}\). Such erosion rates imply a minimum exposure age of the carbonate clasts in the desert pavement of 1 Ma.

Exposure ages and erosion rates calculated from the chert clasts are different than those calculated from the carbonate clasts, even though they all reside in the same pavement. This difference must be considered. It can be seen, both at the surface and in the trenches, that chert clasts are distributed fairly evenly among bars and swales. On the other hand, the larger carbonate clasts are concentrated in patches following the ghosts of original depositional bars (Fig. 4). We assume that, originally, the carbonate pebbles were concentrated mainly in bars, since they are, on average, larger. During the first few 10\(^5\)–10\(^7\) yr after the abandonment of this ancient alluvial surface, clasts were transported from bars to swales (e.g., Matmon et al., 2006). During the process of material diffusion from bars to swales, chert clasts accumulated at the surface, remained there, and desert pavement began to develop. The silicate composition of the chert ensured the high resistance of the clasts to weathering and erosion. Thus, chert was added to the surface but not removed from it. Its average cosmogenic dosing is controlled by a large fraction of clasts that were exposed during the initial stages of this process. On the other hand, carbonate pebbles, derived mainly from bars, also accumulated at the surface, but they were slowly weathered by dissolution. Thus, carbonate pebbles were removed as well as continuously and laterally added to the surface pavement from the bars. Therefore, the contribution of old and heavily dosed pebbles to the average dosing of carbonate clasts is diminished. The crucial consequence of this difference in process is that the average cosmogenic nuclide dosing of chert clasts is much greater than the average cosmogenic nuclide dosing of carbonate clasts; by inference, their average exposure age is longer. As the relief of bar and swale morphology was reduced, diffusion rate was also significantly reduced (or even ceased). Once the surface had been completely flattened (as it appears today), all clasts residing on the former bars and swales were dosed equally by cosmic radiation. The ability to distinguish bars and swales on the very flat surface today (Fig. 4) and the remarkable correspondence between the two amalgamated carbonate samples suggest that transport of clasts has not occurred since the stabilization of the surface. Nevertheless, the carbonate and chert clasts still have a memory of the difference in processes that affected them during the first 10\(^7\) yr of the existence of the Paran Plains.

The \(^{10}\text{Be}\) concentrations in amalgamated chert samples collected at depth cannot be interpreted in a straightforward manner and require modeling (Fig. 3). Model results agree with the surface samples and with our knowledge of the Pliocene-Pleistocene tectonic and landscape evolution of this region. The \(^{10}\text{Be}\) concentrations of both surface and depth samples from the northern site were used jointly in a numerical model that describes the dosing of cosmogenic isotopes by cosmic radiation as a function of a three-step history of clastic and eolian deposition. The \(^{10}\text{Be}\) concentration profile with depth in PS-4 trench suggests that sediment was deposited in two or more cycles and not in a single deposition event. This observation is supported by the poor fit between measured and calculated concentrations in the single event scenario (Fig. 3). Thus, we considered scenarios with two depositional events. The “concentration unconformity” at 120 cm depth was one of the conditions in the model that forced it to separate the deposition events at that depth, even though no field evidence for a break in deposition was found.

The history of eolian cover was determined by OSL ages in the dust fraction in samples collected from the upper 80 cm of the surface. From 80 to 40 cm, the dust filled the spaces between the chert clasts. Above 40 cm, the dust constitutes a separate unit. The oldest OSL age within the separate unit is 169 ± 52 ka. OSL ages range between 0.7 ka at 1 cm and 357 ka at 70 cm below the surface (Table 4). There is a gradual and almost linear increase in the OSL age from the surface to 40 cm depth, and below this depth, the results show a more irregular pattern.

In the best-fit model, an exposure age of 1.8 ± 0.3 Ma was calculated for the desert pavement. This age agrees with the simple exposure age calculation and, hence, verifies the assumptions of near-zero erosion of the exposed clasts and insignificant inheritance. The best-fit model (Table 5; Fig. 3) suggests two stages of clast deposition at 2.05 ± 0.3 Ma and 1.8 ± 0.3 Ma with a later eolian input. The model results suggest a depositional dosing of ~320,000 atoms g\(^{-1}\) quartz. This dosing is equivalent to 2.05 ± 0.3 Ma and 1.8 ± 0.3 Ma with a later eolian input. The model results suggest a depositional dosing of ~320,000 atoms g\(^{-1}\) quartz. This dosing is equivalent to a late Pliocene source bedrock erosion rate of ~14 mm k.y.\(^{-1}\) or a depositional exposure age of ca. 48 k.y. The \(^{10}\text{Be}\) exposure ages were also calculated using \(\lambda = 5.1 \times 10^{-7} \text{yr}^{-1} (\tau_{1/2} = 1.36 \text{m.y.})\) and continuous exposure at an elevation of 520 m above sea level. These calculations
yielded an older age of 2.35 Ma for initial deposition and a pavement age of 2.0 Ma.

After the Paran Plains surface was abandoned, at the latest 1.5–2.0 Ma, Reg soil and pavement began to develop. The diagnostic horizons of this soil (Birkeland, 1999) reflect continuous soil development during regional climatic changes. A calcic Bk horizon at a depth >1 m developed within the >2.0 Ma gravelly alluvium, and this implies root-zone respiration and, in turn, some vegetation cover and therefore wetter conditions than present. This implication is also supported by the $^{10}$Be depth profile model results. The calculated late Pliocene chert erosion rate of 14 m.y.$^{-1}$ is an order of magnitude faster than that measured presently in chert bedrock in hyperarid deserts of Israel (Haviv et al., 2006). Next, aridity increased and calcic-gypsic and gypsic-salic soil horizons engulfed the calcic horizon. The soil development was accompanied by (1) the formation of a thick (~10 cm) Av horizon immediately underneath the well-developed, 1.5–1.9 Ma desert pavement and (2) the accumulation of very fine sand to clay dust below the Av horizon. The timing of pedogenic carbonate deposition is not well established. However, as mentioned already, Amit et al. (2006) showed that climatic conditions during the past 300 k.y., and perhaps since the early Pleistocene, have been too arid for soil carbonate deposition in the southern Negev; thus, the Bk horizon may be of early to middle Pleistocene age.

Our results indicate a late Pliocene to early Pleistocene surface age for the Paran Plains and similar surfaces in the Near East deserts. Model scenarios and results show that initial gravel deposition took place between ca. 2.35 Ma and ca. 2.05 Ma, and abandonment of the surface occurred 1.9–1.5 Ma. The abandonment was followed by Reg soil and extensive desert pavement formation. This history is in agreement with the geologic temporal framework.

---

**Table 5. Model Results for $^{10}$Be Samples in Site PS-4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dif ($\times 10^5$ yr)*</th>
<th>$N_i$ ($\times 10^6$ atoms g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$t_{rem}$ (Ma)$^\dagger$</th>
<th>Fit</th>
<th>Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1 event with mixing (20 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2 events without mixing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2 events without mixing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$Time difference between first and second clast deposition. Pavement age equals the age of the second deposition.

$^\dagger$Time of first deposition.

---

**Note:** A 15% error is attributed to all ages; asl—above sea level.

---

Figure 4. Bar and swale morphology of the ancient Paran Plains is still apparent on the flat surfaces. Bar ghosts contain carbonate pebbles as well as a majority of chert clasts (inset A). Swale ghosts contain only the mature and tightly arranged chert clasts (inset B). Presently, there is no relief between bars and swales.
Cosmogenic isotope measurements from the ancient desert pavements and Reg soils of the tectonically stable and hyperarid areas of the southern Negev indicate extreme geologic stability of vast alluvial surfaces. Such ancient surfaces are ubiquitous throughout the Middle East in similar tectonic and climatic conditions. The combination of long-term hyperaridity, absence of vegetation and bioturbation, and the rapid reduction of original chert and carbonate clasts to a full mosaic of pebble-size desert pavement has protected these surfaces from erosion, and they now form a most remarkably stable landscape on Earth, a landscape that essentially has not eroded for >10^6 yr.

The difference in cosmetric dating, and thus in the calculated exposure ages, of chert clasts in comparison with carbonate clasts is a consequence of the diffusion process that reduced the original bar and swale morphology of these alluvial surfaces. Even if we consider the maximum erosion rate implied by the carbonate samples (0.75–0.83 m m.y.−1) operating throughout the history of the Paran Plain surface, we find surface lowering to be ~1–1.5 m. This may be the height difference between bars and swales.

The combination of field evidence, cosmetric isotope concentrations, and OSL ages suggests that in the past several hundreds of thousands of years, erosion in the Paran Plain geomorphic system has been approaching nearly zero. Both the cumulative nature of the soil, which does not present any evidence of stripping, and the addition of material (as opposed to removal) to the system by slow eolian processes, suggest extreme stability. Furthermore, chert clasts, which compose the majority of the desert pavement, have been reduced to their minimal size, and pavement development has reached its peak and provides a most effective surface armor.

In the case of the Paran Plains, the amalgamating approach has yielded exceptional results. The reproducibility of cosmogenic nuclide concentration measurements within samples that were spatially separated exhibits the power of amalgamated samples in the investigation of surface processes. Other extremely old landscapes (i.e., the Atacama and Namib Deserts) should be dated considering our approach of amalgamation.
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